

Illustrative Case Assessment

A fictional example showing the organization, labels, and level of detail a completed Assessment may contain.

CASE	United States v. Jordan Ellis (fictional)
COURT	Illustrative Federal District Court
CASE NUMBER	00-cr-00000 (sample only)
ASSESSMENT TIER	Tier 2 sample
PREPARED FOR	Jordan Ellis

SAMPLE ONLY. This document uses invented facts. It is not legal advice, a filing, attorney work product, or a report about a real person.

01 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

What this sample report is designed to show

A completed FedCase.ai Assessment organizes one defendant’s record, separates facts from law and BOP policy, identifies research findings in order of apparent strength, and records the dates and requirements that may affect the earliest possible release. The real report is built from the named defendant’s documents and current sources.

This sample does not predict a result. It demonstrates the structure of the finished work. All case facts are fictional, and source references are intentionally described rather than presented as real pin cites.

Sample case at a glance

Record reviewed	Illustrative judgment, statement of reasons, docket entries, sentence computation, program history, and correspondence.
Primary research priority	Confirm the fictional defendant’s current earned-time-credit inputs and identify any mismatch between the record and the displayed projected date.
Secondary priority	Document the five Second Chance Act factors that appear in the fictional record and note missing records needed for a fuller evaluation.
Other avenues	Compassionate release, sentence reduction, and clemency are screened separately. This example does not label any of them a strong immediate path.
Delivery	Printed report prepared for mailing to the defendant. CaseWatch and ActiveAgent begin for the included first month.

02 RECORD MAP

What was reviewed and what is still missing

The record map prevents assumptions. It shows which materials were available, what each document contributes, and what could not be confirmed from the available file.

Document	Status	Why it matters
Judgment	Reviewed	Sentence structure, custody term, supervised release, and financial obligations.
Statement of reasons	Reviewed	Guideline findings and court-adopted calculations.
Docket entries	Reviewed	Procedural history, later orders, and pending activity.
Sentence computation	Reviewed	Projected date and the BOP calculation displayed in the sample record.
Program history	Partial	Shows completed activity but lacks one fictional participation record.
Detainer information	Missing	Needed before drawing a firm conclusion about certain placement-related factors.

Additional documents requested

- Current program participation record
- Any current detainer documentation
- Most recent sentence computation notice
- Relevant administrative remedy responses, if any

03 RESEARCH FINDINGS

Ordered by apparent strength

The finished report distinguishes matters of record, matters of law, BOP policy, and interpretive analysis. That distinction is shown below.

Priority 1	Sentence computation and earned-time-credit inputs
BASIS	Record + BOP policy
FINDING	The sample record contains a projected date and program history that should be compared line by line. One missing participation record prevents a complete calculation in this fictional example.
Priority 2	Second Chance Act factors
BASIS	Record + statute/policy
FINDING	Several sample factors can be documented now. Detainer status and one placement record remain unresolved.
Priority 3	Pending legal developments
BASIS	Current-source monitoring
FINDING	No current decision is treated as controlling in this sample. CaseWatch would track described pending developments and report what changed each month.
Screened	Compassionate release, reductions, and clemency
BASIS	Law + record
FINDING	The available fictional facts do not support labeling one of these as an immediate strong path. They remain separate research sections rather than being omitted.

04 FSA AND SCA

Calculations, participation, and documented factors

A real Assessment uses the defendant’s actual sentence computation, eligibility information, program history, risk data, detainers, and current BOP policy. This sample uses fictional values only.

Illustrative FSA calculation display

Eligibility status	Illustrative only. A real report states what source supports the status and identifies anything that could not be verified.
Programming record	Example history reviewed through the sample cutoff date. One item remains unverified and is excluded from the calculation.
Calculation result	No numeric credit result is published in this fictional sample. Real calculations show inputs, source dates, and checked arithmetic.
Open question	Whether the missing participation record changes the result. The report requests the record rather than guessing.

Five Second Chance Act factors

- Available record and offense history. The real report ties this factor to the defendant’s record and labels missing information.
- Conduct and programming during confinement. The real report ties this factor to the defendant’s record and labels missing information.
- Release plan and community resources. The real report ties this factor to the defendant’s record and labels missing information.
- Any detainer or unresolved legal obligation. The real report ties this factor to the defendant’s record and labels missing information.
- Other documented factors required by the applicable source. The real report ties this factor to the defendant’s record and labels missing information.

05 OTHER RESEARCH AREAS

Each avenue receives its own answer

FedCase.ai does not omit an area because the initial answer appears weak. The report states what was checked, what the record supports, and what remains uncertain.

Compassionate release	Reviews the statutory framework, applicable current decisions, exhaustion history in the record, and the facts supplied. This sample makes no eligibility conclusion.
Sentence reductions	Checks current statutory and guideline developments against the sentence and procedural history shown in the record.
Clemency	Reviews current policy, record facts, and missing materials that may affect a future clemency evaluation.
Administrative remedies	Organizes any remedy history found in the record and identifies source requirements and timing. It does not draft or file a remedy.

When no immediate strong path appears

The report still has work to do. It can document the requirements that affect FSA and SCA benefits, identify missing records, and place pending legal or policy developments on CaseWatch. “No strong path today” is a research finding, not a reason to invent one.

06 TIMING MAP

Dates and events worth tracking

Illustrative timing item	Source type	Status
Sentence-computation review date	Record	Confirm against current BOP display
Program record cutoff	Record	One later item requested
Monthly CaseWatch report	Service schedule	Mailed every month
Pending policy development	BOP / Federal Register	Track for change
Docket activity	Court record	Hourly source check; included in monthly report

This section identifies relevant dates and timing considerations found in the sources. It is not a filing calendar and does not tell the defendant what legal action to take.

07 SOURCES AND QUALITY CHECKS

Where the research comes from

Court records	CourtListener, RECAP, PACER, and the defendant’s available docket materials.
Decisions	Supreme Court sources, applicable circuit and district decisions, and other current primary sources.
Policy and rules	BOP policies and program statements, the Sentencing Commission, Federal Register, and DOJ/Pardon Attorney materials.
Record facts	Documents supplied for the Assessment and information found in the named case record.

Quality rules shown in a completed report

- Law, record facts, BOP policy, and interpretive analysis are labeled separately.
- Material that cannot be verified is not used as support.
- FSA calculations show the inputs that were checked.
- Missing records are listed instead of filled with assumptions.
- Research findings may include “no strong path immediately available.”

08 IMPORTANT BOUNDARY

Research from a software company

FedCase.ai is a service of Open Efforts Corporation. We organize records, analyze data, and prepare research reports. We are not a law firm.

- We do not give legal advice.
- We do not represent the defendant.
- We do not file motions, petitions, or administrative remedies.
- The report is not privileged and is not attorney work product.
- The defendant decides whether and how to use the research.
- The report may be used by a pro se filer or reviewed with counsel.

BOP messaging is monitored. Do not send information through CorrLinks that you would not want the Bureau of Prisons to read.

This sample is fictional

No person, case, result, citation, date, or calculation in this document should be treated as real. The purpose of this PDF is to show the proposed organization and visual treatment of a FedCase.ai Assessment until a properly redacted production sample is available.